

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN TO ALL ELECTED OFFICIALS, JUDGES,
BANKERS, CORPORATE HEADS, ALL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES,
AND OTHER CITIZENS:**

<https://foundationfortruthinlaw.org/notice.html>

1. It becomes your "Higher Duty" to investigate or cause to be investigated, ANY possible illegal or unconstitutional NOTICE brought to your personal attention by any party. To fail to act on possible criminal or civil right violations creates a personal liability on your part and can be used in a court of law against you and your superiors. The "Higher Duty" to know the law and act within it runs all the way to the top, and ignorance of the law is no excuse when you have been placed on NOTICE... AND DO NOTHING ABOUT IT!
2. It is a crime for any government office or any official to auction or otherwise sell in any way, private or business property of any individual WITHOUT FIRST HAVING DUE PROCESS OF LAW, to determine the cause of action and the recourse in law. The sale of any property outside this means is illegal, and all those involved with such a sale, including those purchasing said property, are personally liable for damages, and subject to criminal charges under Racketeering (RICO) laws, and for violation of civil and Due Process rights. All government officials have the "Greater Duty" to know the law and comply with it, or you are in breach of your fiduciary duty, and you can be held personally liable by those harmed by any fraud. Any challenge made by any citizen requires you to respond, point by point, and to "prove up" your position in law.
3. If you are involved with ANY illegal, unethical or unconstitutional activities, or KNOW of such taking place, or suspect it, you are legally liable for this knowledge and could be held legally responsible if you do NOT step forward to correct the situation or bring knowledge of any situation you have to the proper authorities. In other words, you are an accomplice in the activities if you do nothing! Any facts which are brought forward by government employees, citizens or investigative parties that have been otherwise covered up, suppressed or ignored, or documentation, or witnesses tampered with, or evidence destroyed, will be used against all parties involved to the fullest extent of law.

[Whistleblower Pie Episode #4 03/01/2022 \(rumble.com\)](#)

[Things Your Lawyer, Attorney, or Judge Won't Tell You | Freedom Documents \(wordpress.com\)](#)

This year alone millions of homes are being foreclosed on by attorneys as third party debt collectors for criminal bankers that have no standing to foreclose, no interest in the home, lent nothing, sold the contract, took the bailout money, collected the mortgage insurance, and now throw the families into the streets and sell their homes and land with help of BAR attorney judges writing void eviction order without any jurisdiction or authority to do so.

This is simply stealing, plundering and sometimes a direct cause of many families breaking up and owners often committing suicide

4. Just what exactly was the motivation behind Minnesota repealing the common barratry statute [613.75] in 1963?

At least [481.07] and [481.071] are still in place. And [18 USC 1962, 18 USC 1005, 18 USC 1660, 18 USC 1661, 18 USC 2381, 18 USC 1341, 18 USC 1346, 18 USC 242, 18 USC 242, 42 USC 1983, 42 USC 1985, 42 USC 1986]

5. [Michael Rothermel | John Stuart | Mortgage Fraud Process and Documents \(myprivateaudio.com\)](http://myprivateaudio.com)

What does "he who seeks equity must do equity mean"?

More simply stated, if you want an honest deal, you must be honest.

OR: you can't expect the contract to hold the other party to all aspects of the deal if you violated some aspect of the same deal

How does one respond to the following question from a judge?

1- *Why are you in Default?*

I am not in default, the mortgage is paid in full, the party attempting to foreclose is NOT the real party in interest and have used false and/or forged documents to make it appear as they are the RPII - they have not been paid in full because they were NEVER supposed to be paid at all. They "sold and/or transferred" their right to be paid as part of their other dealings and for being nothing more than a broker for the money claimed to be loaned. Their claims are fraudulent and cannot be established factually with any real and true evidence; and can and are disproved by their filings with the IRS and SEC.

2- *Are you making payments?*

The mortgage is paid in full as evidenced by the PSA and the REMIC. If the adverse party claims otherwise, please order them to provide the PSA and REMIC filing with the IRS to this Court as said filings shall establish factually that they are making inconsistent and contradictory claims to the IRS and this Court.

3- *Did you get a loan?*

At one point, it appears money was transferred between parties, but the purported "Loan" was in actuality brokered and not supplied by the adverse party, again, I refer the court to the PSA

and REMIC filings with the IRS to evidence my claims and disprove all claims by the adverse party. If the adverse party claims to this Court to have supplied a loan, then let them bring forth evidence of such as reported to the IRS and SEC.

4-why are you in foreclosure?

I am NOT in foreclosure. The adverse is in actuality in foreclosure and committing fraud upon this Court to make it appear as though it is me being foreclosed upon when in actuality, I, as beneficiary to the PSA for the adverse party's fraud, am the only entity with rights to said property.

For clarification, there was a "deal", the deal may appear legit due to the false and/or forged documents provided to this Court by the adverse party, but in actuality the ONLY "valid and lawful deal" this Court should recognize by law is the deal that is inclusive of the "securitization process and the relevant PSA" wherein since the adverse party failed to adhere to the requirements of the PSA the purported "loan" was paid in full by numerous insurance policies relative to the purported "loan" and myself, ergo the purported "loan" was paid for for me. In law, there is no requirement for me to pay and no restriction on who pays, the ONLY requirement is that a debt, if valid, be paid. The purported debt was paid, as evidenced by the adverse party's filings with IRS and SEC that they are purposefully concealing from this Court in violation of state and/or federal law.

If the adverse party wishes to deny my allegations, then pursuant to the rules of discovery and best evidence I move this Court to COMPEL the adverse party to present the ORIGINAL PSA; and NOTE; and the REMIC filings with the IRS and SEC which will evidence factually my claims are true.

This Court should note that the adverse party is making contradicting claims to the IRS, the SEC and this Court. The PSA and REMIC filings contain conclusive presumptions that contradict the adverse party's claims in this Court.

I am NOT trying to get a house for free, the house was paid for through other entities of which I am a lawful beneficiary of and/or party too; in actuality the adverse party is unlawfully defrauding this Court, the IRS, the SEC and insurance companies and investor groups to get a house for free and be paid numerous times on a "bad" investment the adverse party caused to be bad.

“I do not understand how a bank or servicer can divest me of my property through their criminal acts of filing forged/fraudulent documents, with the assistance of the courts.”

~ John Stuart